Liddy. I suppose at a future Republican senatorial dinner, we will see both of them doing a duet.

WE HAVE TO GET OUR FINANCIAL HOUSE IN ORDER

(Mr. SCARBOROUGH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SCARBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, this Congress faces two challenges in the next 100 days and in the rest of this session. We have got to get our financial house in order. We have got to finally balance the budget, do it for the first time since 1969. The second thing we are going to have to do is finally get Medicare costs under control. A report by President Clinton's own task force shows that Medicare goes bankrupt by the year 2002. We have got to do both of these things at the same time, and it is going to call for heavy lifting, and it is going to call for bipartisan support.

I ask the Democrats today to come forward with a plan that not only saves Medicare but also balances the budget by the year 2002. If they are not willing to take part in the process, I ask that they step back and let the Republican Party do it, along with other conservative Democrats who are just as concerned about this very important issue. We have no choice. We must take care of Medicare and we must balance the budget by the year 2002, or it is the senior citizens who will suffer in the end.

COMMENDING THE FEDERAL EM-PLOYEES WHO SERVE THE PUB-LIC

(Mr. OLVER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Speaker, the deadly bombing 2 weeks ago in Oklahoma City has had a chilling effect on our Nation. More than 100 Federal employees died.

They died because a few used violence to express their hate for the American Government.

We are angry. We want justice. Our healing has barely begun.

As we mourn with the families of the victims, let us remember that Federal employees are not nameless, faceless bureaucrats. They are people. They help others every day.

In my district many Federal employees help us in our everyday lives.

I am reminded of Jeffrey Reck who serves as district manager of the Social Security Administration in Fitchburg, MA.

Jeff helps people get the benefits they deserve.

He gets answers. He gives people the personal help that we all need from our Government. He treats people like people.

Jeff's work is a tribute to his fallen colleagues and to Federal employees everywhere. I commend him and so many thousands who serve the public.

PROTECT MEDICARE

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to say to my Republican colleagues, it is time to deliver on your promises.

You said you would cut taxes, balance the budget, and leave Social Security and defense intact. Now tell us: How will you do it?

To date the Republicans have raided the Medicare trust fund to pay for their tax cuts for the rich. Their tax bill takes \$27 billion away from the Medicare trust fund and from our Nation's senior citizens.

In 1993 and again in 1994, the President and the Democrats took action to make the Medicare Program stronger. And, we did it over the loud protests of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle.

I say to my Republican colleagues, don't take health care from our senior citizens to pay for tax cuts for the rich. That is not Medicare reform. And our senior citizens will not be fooled.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO ACT AS CHAIRMAN OF REVIEW PANEL ESTABLISHED BY RULE 51 OF THE RULES OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. INGLIS of South Carolina) laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable WILLIAM M. THOMAS, Member of Congress:

House of Representatives, Committee on House Oversight, Washington, DC, May 1, 1995.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,

Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to House Rule 51, clause 7, I have appointed the Honorable Vernon J. Ehlers as chairman of the review panel established by that Rule for the 104th Congress.

Best regards,

BILL THOMAS, Chairman.

NEW DEREGULATION FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS

(Mr. STEARNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to advise and introduce to the Members that we had a telecommunications press conference today offered through the Committee on Commerce a new deregulatory bill which will allow mass communications to change dramatically, and I had the honor to offer as an amendment to this bill new broadcast ownership changes to allow many new forms of ownership for video broadcasting. It is bipartisan bill.

Basically it reduces restrictions on ownership of broadcasting stations and other media mass communications. As I mentioned, it repeals antiquated rules and regulations and brings broadcasting up to date with technology. The bill states that the FCC does not provide or enforce any regulations concerning cross ownership. The details of this will be in a statement that I will put in the extension of my remarks today.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. FIELDS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FIELDS of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this morning, I introduced on behalf of myself, Chairman Tom Bliley, our Republican Members, and Democrat cosponsors, the Communications Act of 1995. Hearings are planned for Wednesday, May 10, Thursday, May 11, and Friday, May 12.

Truly, this is a watershed and historic moment for the telecommunication industry, our country, and the consuming public.

This legislation meets several broad objectives:

First, and foremost, the legislation gives definition and certainty as we move into this time of convergence and technological innovation.

Second, this legislation is much more deregulatory than the telecommunications legislation, introduced and passed last year. This legislation recognizes that the 1934 act is outdated—a dinosaur—and coupled with a hodge-podge of FCC administrative decisions and Federal court decisions, the telecommunications industry could be stifled and the consumer denied better products and services at lower costs unless we pass this historic legislation.

Third, great attention was paid in creating level playing fields—an atmosphere of legislative parity so that the rules are fair to all competitors as new lines of business are entered.

Fourth, it was our goal and objective for our legislation to be dynamic so that it evolves with and recognizes new technology and its applications.

Fifth, our legislation is predicated on competition and an opportunity model not government, be it Federal or State micromanagement.

I can't stand up here and tell you that the Communications Act of 1995 is perfect or that it will not change; of course, the legislative process itself is dynamic.

But, I can tell you that there has been much consultation with industry leaders, consumer groups, States and cities, with our members and between our respective staffs, and it should be recognized that this legislation builds on the foundation of the 14 months of